When Traditions & Norms Clash: CommTech's Acquisition of InConnect

Abstract

India has emerged as a crucial player in the global technology landscape, attracting numerous multinational companies seeking to tap into its growing market and talent pool. This case study explores the impact of cultural differences on mergers and acquisitions (M&A) in the Indian technology sector, focusing on a hypothetical acquisition between a US-based technology giant, CommTech, and an Indian IT services firm, InConnect. CommTech's compensation policy, which included giving CommTech's stock to InConnect employees, initially facilitated retention. However, this policy, rooted in American work culture, eventually caused issues. Tensions arose when InConnect's leadership demanded stock options for long-term contract workers, including drivers and janitors, due to their seniority and long tenure for working with the firm. These demands deviated from CommTech's norm of offering stock options only to full-time employees. This cultural clash over differences in compensation policies created friction between CommTech and InConnect, jeopardizing the acquisition's integration goals. The case highlights how the target company's traditions, a key cultural dimension, can influence the success of a cross-border acquisition.

Background

Rapidly changing technologies are transforming the competitive landscape by enabling companies to innovate faster and disrupt traditional business models, leading to increased market competition[1]. For example, advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning are allowing firms to gain unprecedented insights from data, driving efficiency and creating new market opportunities[2]. As a result, firms are increasingly pursuing acquisitions to quickly adapt to rapid technological changes and stay competitive in the evolving market landscape[3]. Acquisitions offer the benefit of rapidly integrating new technologies and expertise, enabling companies to quickly scale and innovate without the lengthy process of in-house development[4].

As local markets mature and become saturated, many US technology firms are increasingly investing in markets like India due to its digital transformation, large internet penetration, rapidly growing economy, favorable government policies, youthful demographic, and burgeoning middle class, which offer significant growth opportunities[5,6,7]. In 2020 alone, India received a foreign direct investment (FDI) of over $64 billion representing an increase of more than 25% from the year before[8]. Further, the Indian government has been supportive of allowing foreign companies to acquire 100% stake in Indian firms in the e-commerce sector.

Reflecting on the challenges of staying competitive in this dynamic landscape and abundant growth opportunities in India, Dave, the young CEO of a big US technology firm finally decided that their company, CommTech, would have to enter the Indian market sooner than later. He called a meeting of his corporate development executives and gave them the task of finding a suitable acquisition target that would provide them with strategic and competitive advantages in the Indian market and abroad. He emphasized the importance of identifying a target that not only could enhance their technological capabilities, expand their customer base and supply chain, and strengthen their market position.

The executives were tasked with conducting a thorough market analysis, evaluating potential synergies, and assessing the cultural fit of potential targets. He was confident that his executives would find the best possible target that would not only fit with their market and technology goals, but also align with the company’s culture and values. Why wouldn’t he be confident? He had himself hired those executives due to their extensive experience in mergers and acquisitions spanning multiple years, industries, and countries. Their track record included successful integrations that had driven significant value creation and operational efficiencies.

CommTech: Market Leader in Communication Technology

CommTech was founded in the 2000s by a group of visionary entrepreneurs who aimed to revolutionize the communications industry. Starting with a small team, the company quickly made a name for itself by providing developers with the tools to integrate various communication channels into their applications through APIs (Application Programming Interface). CommTech's first product, a voice API allowed the developers to make and receive phone calls via the cloud. This was followed by the release of their messaging API which further solidified CommTech's position as a key player in the cloud communications space. The company's innovative approach and developer-friendly platform quickly gained traction, leading to rapid growth and a strong customer base.

CommTech's journey to becoming a public company was marked by significant milestones and strategic investments. The company raised multiple rounds of funding from prominent venture capital firms, enabling it to expand its product offerings and scale its operations globally. During the decade of 2010, CommTech went public on the New York Stock Exchange, with its stock price surging on the first day of trading. This successful IPO provided CommTech with the capital it needed to continue its expansion and innovation. Over the years, CommTech made several strategic acquisitions to enhance its capabilities and broaden its market reach, including notable acquisitions in the email and customer data platform spaces.

CommTech's growth has been impressive, but it has not been without challenges. The company has faced intense competition in the Communications Platform as a Service (CPaaS) market, requiring continuous innovation and strategic positioning to maintain its leadership. Additionally, CommTech has had to navigate regulatory complexities and ensure robust data security and privacy measures. Despite these challenges, CommTech's commitment to innovation and customer-centric solutions has driven its success. The company's ability to adapt to changing market dynamics and its strategic acquisitions have played a crucial role in its growth. The company aims to replicate its U.S. market success as it contemplates its entry into the Indian market.

InConnect: A Technology Unicorn

InConnect’s journey to success was very similar to that of CommTech. It was founded in the early 2000s by a visionary entrepreneur with a deep understanding of the communications industry. Starting with a small team of less than 20 dedicated professionals, the company quickly established itself as a pioneer in the Communications Platform as a Service (CPaaS) space in India. InConnect's early success was driven by its innovative solutions that enabled businesses to connect with their customers through various communication channels, including SMS, email, and voice. Additionally, InConnect had strong relationships with the Indian telecom carriers and therefore, was able to access and leverage their large customer base. By focusing on customer-centric solutions and leveraging cutting-edge technology, InConnect rapidly expanded its client base, serving over 1,000 enterprises within a few years of its inception. As InConnect continued to grow, it attracted the attention of major industry players and investors. The company's robust product offerings and strong market position made it an attractive acquisition target.

The Acquisition

CommTech decided to acquire InConnect to enhance its presence and capabilities in the fast-growing Indian market and to expand its communication platform services in the region. The acquisition was strategically aimed at enhancing CommTech's capabilities in delivering integrated solutions and expanding its global footprint in a high-growth region. By acquiring InConnect, CommTech aimed to leverage InConnect's extensive local customer base, established market presence, and deep understanding of the Indian communication ecosystem to better serve businesses in India and strengthen its position as a global leader in communications.

Everything was going according to plan. Dave was pleased with his executive team's recommendation to acquire InConnect and was satisfied with the favorable valuation and negotiation outcomes. Dave and his team had decided to allow InConnect to continue operating independently, ensuring minimal disruption to its business operations. While keeping structurally separate, the focus was shifted to integrating InConnect's employees into CommTech's corporate culture, aligning their compensation and benefits, and making them feel like integral members of the CommTech family. The integration plan included a phased approach, starting with shared services such as HR, finance, and IT, followed by a gradual alignment of product offerings. This methodical integration was designed to preserve InConnect's operational autonomy while progressively realizing synergies and enhancing collaborative innovation.

Dave knew that this acquisition was a different undertaking than many acquisitions CommTech has done in the US. He remembered that his executives had cautioned him about the cultural differences between the two countries and that he had to be mindful of these differences while making integration decisions. At the same time, he was confident as his team had experience in successfully managing cross border acquisitions before. He tried to teach himself about the country by reading books about Indian culture and visited the country to experience the culture firsthand. This country was really different, he thought, and he would learn a lot from this acquisition.

The Integration - First Signs of Problems

Retaining leadership is often one of the biggest challenges in any acquisition. As leaders are centers of knowledge and influence, losing any leadership can put a dent to the integration plans of any acquisition. However, it was not the case with InConnect. The initial feedback from the integration teams about leadership retention was very positive. InConnect's leadership wanted to continue working for CommTech because they felt they were now part of a globally reputed US firm and found the perks of being executives in a global market leader as higher than that of working for InConnect. For example, as part of CommTech's compensation policy, every employee of InConnect was given CommTech's stock which would increase in value in future. However, little did CommTech know that their compensation policy which was responsible for successful employee retention would backfire and put the acquisition goals in danger.

Different Workplace Traditions

The compensation policies were designed with the United States work culture in mind where workplace dynamics are more heavily influenced by the principles of meritocracy and individualism[9]. The American professional environment encourages open communication, collaboration, and a relatively flat organizational structure, where employees are empowered to share ideas and take initiative regardless of their position[10]. The policy's focus on meritocracy meant that promotions and career advancements were typically based on performance metrics and individual accomplishments, rather than on age or tenure.

On the other hand, Indian tradition significantly influences workplace dynamics, often dictating hierarchical structures and social interactions. Indian workplaces typically emphasize respect for authority, seniority, and established social roles, reflecting the broader societal values rooted in historical and cultural practices[11]. Additionally, familial ties and social networks can play a crucial role in career advancement, with personal relationships sometimes taking precedence over meritocratic considerations[12]. These traditional values can impact employee behavior, fostering a collectivist mindset that prioritizes group harmony and loyalty over individual achievements.

When employment contracts for InConnect employees were being designed, the leadership team of InConnect demanded that stock options should be given not only to full time employees but also to the contract workers such as car drivers, and janitors etc. who had been working for InConnect for more than 15 years. This was not the norm in the US as the policy was only to offer stock options to full-time employees and as a result became one of the reasons for friction between CommTech and InConnect leadership teams and employees.

Impact on Acquisition

Simple disagreements on compensation policy between the two firms derailed the integration progress that CommTech had accomplished in the beginning. The focus shifted from strategic goals of the acquisition to managing leadership expectations on what InConnect wanted as compared to what CommTech wanted. These disagreements led to delayed integration planning. Even though CommTech was very cautious in managing cultural differences, decisions on whom to provide stock started hurting employee relations leading to building of distrust between the organizations.

CommTech had acquired InConnect to enhance its presence and capabilities in the fast-growing Indian market and to expand its communication platform services in the region. Rather than focusing on the synergies, important meeting hours were wasted on tasks that CommTech deemed unimportant and did not add any direct value to the business. Moreover, even if CommTech decided to offer stocks to contract employees, it would have set a wrong precedent for future global transactions.

In order to resolve this conflict, the Target leadership team came up with an alternate plan on how to do something for contract employees. They recommended that contract employees be hired as full-time employees so they can get stock options as it is a tradition in InConnect to provide equal gifts to all employees irrespective of their contractual status. Doing this would resolve the differences between the two leadership teams and CommTech can finally focus on integration planning and achieving acquisition goals. However, this would not only set a wrong precedent for future acquisitions but also add tremendous cost to the CommTech thus shrinking any possible synergistic value from the transaction. Another possible solution for CommTech was to not award stock options. The benefit of this option is that CommTech can finally focus on the integration planning and achieving the goals of this acquisition. On the other hand, this option would only increase the distrust and friction between the two leadership teams and employees.

Dave feels that he is stuck between a rock and a hard place. If he decides to go ahead with awarding stock options to all employees, his firm loses a lot of money, and the acquisition goes off rail before even beginning. On the other hand, if he decides to follow his compensation policy, he risks alienating InConnect’s leadership team and employees which can also impact their integration plans. He called for a meeting with his executives to discuss this dilemma.

References:

[1] Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2014). How smart, connected products are transforming competition. ***Harvard Business Review***, 92(11), 64-88.

[2] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). ***The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies***. W.W. Norton & Company.

[3] Christensen, C. M., Alton, R., Rising, C., & Waldeck, A. (2011). The New M&A Playbook. ***Harvard Business Review***.

[4] Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Harrison, J. S. (2001). ***Mergers and Acquisitions: A Guide to Creating Value for Stakeholders***. Oxford University Press.

[5] Ernst & Young. (2020). FDI in India: Now, Next, and Beyond.

[6] McKinsey Global Institute. (2019). Digital India: Technology to Transform a Connected Nation.

[7] Ramaswamy, V. (2014). ***Competing in India: How Multinational Corporations Are Strategizing to Tap into the Indian Market***. Palgrave Macmillan.

[8] Forbes India. (2023) Foreign Direct Investments (FDI) in India. Retrieved from https://www.forbesindia.com/article/explainers/fdi-in-india-inflows/89609/1

[9] Hofstede, G. (2001). ***Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations*****.** SAGE Publications.

[10] McNamee, S. J., & Miller, R. K. (2013). ***The Meritocracy Myth***. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

[11] Chhokar, J. S. (2008). ***Culture and Leadership Across the World: The GLOBE Book of In-Depth Studies of 25 Societies***. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[12] Budhwar, P. S., & Varma, A. (2010). Emerging HRM systems of innovation: A study of India. ***Human Resource Management***, 49(3), 477-500.